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## Content II

- Question of PIERRE Marguerite
- (1) the mean background level as a function of observation position in the orbits
- (3) the XMM current efficiency for our two current working hypotheses
- a 20X10 deg2 survey covered by 10 ks pointings
- a 7x7 deg2 survey covered by 40 ks pointings
- (2) is it foreseen to improve the current performances?
- In particular feedback on Action 2007-06-07/14 (pn overhead and modified mosaicing mode) defined at the June 2007 Users Meeting would be very useful.- Maybe also, some information on the slew mode (Action2007-06-07/13 - min and max speed)
- (4) finally, assuming that we converge to the conclusion that such a survey is worth being undertaken, what would be the procedure to submit the proposal to the XMM TAC?
- So far, programmes up to 3 Ms are possible, but what we would propose is more of the order of 10-20 Ms. Could one imagine a discussion at higher ESA level to allow for legacy-type surveys? In any case, the observations would need to be planned over several AOs.
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## Newton

- Is second cornerstone of ESA's horizon 2000 program
- ESA is in inter-governmental organization with the aim to ... promote the space technology..
- extensions of missions are not granted but must be "earned" in competition with other ESA missions
- Cornerstones for future XMMNewton extensions:

1. Users/Community
2. Oversubscription
3. Number of Papers and citations
4. Scientific Impact
5. Public outreach

## Users

## Observatory type mission:

- Annual call for observing time proposals
- Peer review process (OTAC)
- Support for users: from definition of observation details, enhancement, scheduling/coordination, TOO request evaluation and TOO implementation ... help-desk, .... analysis...to ... (SAS) ... calibration ... archiving ... SAS workshops, documentation, conferences and public outreach
$\Rightarrow$ Users:
- Large Community: 1500-2000 scientists
- All scientific topics are addressed
- from comets and planets up to the most distant quasars
- Most of the users are "external" to the XMM-Newton project, e.g. they do not belong to instrument institutes nor the Survey Science Center)


## Requests and Users

- Announcement of Opportunity: A07
- 586 valid proposals were submitted
- Oversubscription 7.8
- 424 different principal investigators from 23 countries
- 1560 individual scientists
- 8 proposals joint XMM/Chandra
- 11 proposals joint XMM/VLT
- Observing Time Allocation Committee: OTAC
- 13 panels
- 66 scientists (rotation every 2 AOs)
- Archive: XSA
- 2200 external registered uses
- 110 external users per month (typical value)
- 2500 data sets (ODF and PPS) per month (typical value)
- Analysis Software: SAS:
- Version 7.1 (1st June 2006 $13^{\text {th }}$ July 2007)
- 2075 downloads
- -1730 scientists have access to SAS 7.1 (not counting downloads with only one user)


## Publications
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In calendar years 2001 and 2002, 20 journals of astronomy and astrophysics published 7768 papers that reported or analyzed observations at wavelengths from meter radio to ultrahigh energy gamma rays. In the three calendar years after publication, these papers were cited more than 97000 times, according to the Science Citation Index/Web of Science data base (the most complete, we believe, available), for an average rate of 4.19 citations per paper per year. We slice these data up several ways, by subject matter, wavelength band, and the telescopes (etc.) used. Most of the results will not surprise: There are hot topics (cosmology, exoplanets) and not so hot topics (binary stars, planetary nebulae). Papers reporting space-

## Scientific Highlights: Public Outreach I

## 03-Jan-2007:

Black hole found inside globular star cluster
Astronomers have found a black hole where few thought they could ever exist, inside a globular star cluster. The finding has broad existence of a still-speculative new class of black holes called 'intermediate-mass' black holes.


## 05-Jan-2007:

X-ray evidence supports possible new class of supernova
Evidence for a significant new class of supermova has been found with the European Space Agency's XMM-Newton and NASA's Chandra X-ray Observatory. These results strengthen the case for a population of stars
that evolve rapidly and are destroyed by thermonuclear explosions. Read further details on the ESA News Pages

## 07-Jan-2007:

First 3D map of the Universe's dark matter scaffolding
An international team of scientists has assembled a three-dimensional
map that offers a first look at the web-like large-scale distribution of dark
Read further details on the ESA News Pages


06-Feb-2007:
06-Feb-2007:
Universe contains more calcium than expected
The universe contains one and a half times more calcium than
previously assumed. This conclusion has been drawn by
astronomers using XMM-Newton observations.
Read further details on the ESA News Pages


## 16-Feb-2007:

First X-ray detection of a colliding-wind binary beyond the Milky Way


## 22-Feb-2007:

XMM-Newton reveals a magnetic surprise
XMM-Newton has revealed evidence for a magnetic field in space where astronomers never expected to find one. The magnetic field surrounds a young star called AB Aurigae and provides a possible sorrounds a young star called AB
solution to a twenty-year-old puzzle. Read further details on the ESA News Pages

## 23-Feb-2007:

Anniversary view of nearest detected supernova
Twenty years after the first detection of SN 1987A, the nearest supernova ever detected so far, XMM-Newton provided a fresh-new view of this object. XMM-Newton confirms taht the source keeps brightening
Read further details on the ESA News Pages

## 09-Mar-2007:

XMM-Newton solves Decade Long Mystery
The brightest member of the so-called 'Magnificent Seven' has been
found to pulsate with a period of seven seconds. This discovery casts some doubt on the recent interpretation that this object is a highly exotic
celestial object known as a quark star.
Read further details on the ESA News Pages

## 04-Apr-2007:

XMM-Newton catches Magnetar in Giant Hiccup
Astrophysicists have managed to catch a recently discovered magnetar in a sort of giant cosmic hiccup that still has them puzzled
in a sort of giant cosmic hiccup that still has the
Read further details on the ESA News Pages

10-Apr-2007:
45-year old mystery spiral arms explained?
Astronomers may have cracked a 45 -year old mystery surrounding two ghostly spiral arms in the galaxy M106 (NGC 4258).
worth two stars with winds so powerful that they eject an Earth's worth of material roughly once every month and imagine those two winds colliding head-on. Astronomers have conclusively identified the X-rays from about two-dozen of these systems in our Milky Way, but Read further details on the ESA News Pages
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## Scientific Highlights: Public Outreach II



## 01-Jun-2007:

A\&A XMM-Newton Special Feature
Astronomy \& Astrophysics is publishing a special feature dedicated to the XMM-Newton extended survey of the Taurus molecular cloud. One of the main results is the identification of unusual physical processes not known before in forming stars. These unprecedented observations suggest that
the gas streams falling down onto the forming



Read further details on the A\&A Website


27-Aug-2007:
27-Aug-2007:
XMM-Newton \& Suzaku Pioneer Method for Probing Exotic Matter Astronomers using XMM-Newton and Suzaku have seen Einstein's predicted distortion of space-time and pioneered a ground-breaking technique for determining the properties of neutron stars.
Read further details on the ESA Space Science News Pages
07-Sep-2007: XMM-Newton releases the largest catalogue of $X$-ray sources
The largest catalogue of $X$-ray sources ever has now been released The largest catalogue of $X$-ray sources ever has now been released carried out with ESA's XMM-Newton space observatory over six
years of operation.
Further details on the ESA Space Science News Pages


## 20-Sep-2007:

Explosion reveals tiny magnetic island
XMM-Newton has provided new insight into puzzling celestial objects known as magnetars. Astronomers have traced powerful explosions to egion just beneath a magnetars surface
Further details on the ESA Space Science News Pages

## 29-Nov-2007:

29-Nov-2007:
ESA's XMM-Newton X-ray observatory has discovered a huge cloud of high-temperature gas resting in a spectacular nearby star-forming region. An early christmas present for astronomers, the cloud suggests that hot gas from many star-forming regions leaks into the interstellar medium. Further details on the ESA Website

## Scientific Highlights: Public Outreach III



## Dark Matter Maps reveal Cosmic Scaffolding



COSMOS Field:
1.637 degree $^{2}$ 1000 h (HST) 400 h (XMM)
Matter:
1/6 baryonic (hot and cold) 5/6 dark

Gravitational lensing: total amount of matter (hot and cold)

Optical \& infrared:
cold baryonic matter XMM-Newton: hot matter (red in picture)

$\rightarrow$ Maps of the large-scale distribution of dark matter, resolved in both angle and depth.
$\rightarrow$ Loose network of filaments, growing over time, which intersect in massive structures at the locations of clusters of galaxies
$\Rightarrow$ Consistent with predictions of gravitationally induced structure formation

## First Black Hole in Globular Star Clusters



- X-ray source in GC associated with NGC 4472 (in the Virgo cluster)
-X-ray luminosity: $4 \times 10^{39} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
-Variability excludes composition by several objects
$\Rightarrow$ Black hole (15-30 or 400 solar masses)


## Content

- XMM-Newton and future extensions
- Technical Aspects
- Visibility
- Question of PIERRE Marguerite
- X-ray Universe 2008


## Visibility I



## Visibility II

- Celestial constraints
- The visibility of sources in the sky depends on several constraints, including avoidance of solar system sources. These are:
- Earth limb avoidance with a minimum avoidance angle: 42.5
- Solar avoidance where a solar aspect angle within the range $70^{\circ}-110^{\circ}$ must be maintained at all times.
- Lunar avoidance with a nominal minimum avoidance angle: 220. (Minimum avoidance angle during eclipses (a few weeks near equinoxes: $35^{\circ}$ ).


## Sky I



## Sky II



## Sky III

Revolution: 15 安4


## Sky IV



## Visibility III



## Visibility and Oversubscription



## Visibility IV



## Content II

- Question of PIERRE Marguerite
- (1) the mean background level as a function of observation position in the orbits
- (3) the XMM current efficiency for our two current working hypotheses
- a 20X10 deg2 survey covered by 10 ks pointings
- a $7 \times 7$ deg2 survey covered by 40 ks pointings
- (2) is it foreseen to improve the current performances?
- In particular feedback on Action 2007-06-07/14 (pn overhead and modified mosaicing mode) defined at the June 2007 Users Meeting would be very useful.- Maybe also, some information on the slew mode (Action2007-06-07/13 - min and max speed)
- (4) finally, assuming that we converge to the conclusion that such a survey is worth being undertaken, what would be the procedure to submit the proposal to the XMM TAC?
- So far, programmes up to 3 Ms are possible, but what we would propose is more of the order of $10-20 \mathrm{Ms}$. Could one imagine a discussion at higher ESA level to allow for legacy-type surveys? In any case, the observations would need to be planned over several AOs.


## Background I

- http://xmm.esac.esa.int/external/xmm_sched/vischeck/AO7/ Background_behaviour.pdf

The Behaviour of the XMM-Newton Background:<br>From the beginning of the mission until January 2008

XMM-SOC-USR-TN-0014
issue 2.0
P.M. Rodríguez-Pascual and R. González-Riestra

XMM-SOC User Support Group
March 3, 2008

1
This document supersedes XMM-SOC-USR-TN-0014, issue 1.0. It contains data from
courtesy of P. M. Rodríguez-Pascual \& R. González-Riestra

## Background II



courtesy of P. M. Rodríguez-Pascual \& R. González-Riestra

## Background III / Years



## Background IV / Months



## Background / Scheduling

- Scheduling
- Aim:
- overall maximal efficiency is achieved
- Consider:
- visibility
- Time-critical observations: e.g. TOOs, triggered observations, eclipse, phase, coordinated with other instruments
- Scientific merit
- About 30\% of observations
can are constraint in the schedule and require planning
- Current Scheduling Schema
- In AO: 1, 2, ... ...364, 365
- Start
- Center
- End
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## XMM-Newton I

- Is it foreseen to improve the current performances?
- NO, this is not possible
$\rightarrow$ we allow to make very inefficient use of the capacities of XMM-Newton in order to allow scientific observations which are not possible with other satellites or which make even more inefficient used of other observatories
- EXAMPLE:
- Comparison of ROSAT All Sky Survey with an hypothetical XMM-Newton All Sky Survey
- ROSAT All Sky Survey
- 0.5-2 keV
- assumption: mean exposure time: 400 s
- effective area
- field of view
- background
- total observing time


## XMM-Newton II

- Effective area:
- XMM/RASS = 1.5 (0.1-0.35 keV)
- XMM/RASS $=7.2$ (0.5-1.0 keV)
- XMM/RASS $=7.1$ (1.0-2.0keV)
- Field of View:
- XMM/ROSAT = 1/16
- High Background times:
- XMM (low) / XMM (total) $=0.7$
- Science time per orbit
- XMM (science) / XMM (total) = 125 ks / 173 ks = 0.7
- ROSAT All Sky Survey needed 0.5 years. How long would it need to redo it with XMM-Newton?
- 0.5 year / 7.2 * 16 | 0.7 | 0.7 = 2.3 years
- XMM-Newton is designed to perform long observations with the aim to obtain spectra
- Scientific case


## XMM-Newton III
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## Slow Slews?



XMM-Newton User Group Meeting: Action 2007-06-07/13: on the Users Group, the UG should provide the XMM-Newton SOC with two or three typical examples of slew surveys, with details about the needs on exposure time, sensitivity to be achieved, sky area to be covered and typical sky position. Deadline: end of June, 2007

## Slew Slews II

## Workshop:

"XMM-Newton: The
Next Decade"

- 4th - 6th June 2007
- all talks asked for a few ks exposure time in the minimum
- Technical Constraints:
- Minimum slew speed: 5\%/hour (test 30\%hour)
- Maximal Slew duration 1-1.5 hours
- Only one slew direction (orthogonal to direction towards sun)
- if longer slews or other direction then the slews error (especially the error orthogonal to the slew direction) becomes too large to align the slews



## Content II

- Question of PIERRE Marguerite
- (1) the mean background level as a function of observation position in the orbits
- (3) the XMM current efficiency for our two current working hypotheses
- a 20X10 deg2 survey covered by 10 ks pointings
- a 7x7 deg2 survey covered by 40 ks pointings
- (2) is it foreseen to improve the current performances?
- In particular feedback on Action 2007-06-07/14 (pn overhead and modified mosaicing mode) defined at the June 2007 Users Meeting would be very useful.- Maybe also, some information on the slew mode (Action2007-06-07/13 - min and max speed)
- (4) finally, assuming that we converge to the conclusion that such a survey is worth being undertaken, what would be the procedure to submit the proposal to the XMM TAC?
- So far, programmes up to 3 Ms are possible, but what we would propose is more of the order of $10-20 \mathrm{Ms}$. Could one imagine a discussion at higher ESA level to allow for legacy-type surveys? In any case, the observations would need to be planned over several AOs.


## Mosaic Mode I

1. no offset-map calculation for pn

- reduced spectral resolution
- no (optical) bright stars
- no change of filter-wheel
- slews between pointings

$<3$ degrees

2. MOS and pn observe all the time (including during (closeloop slews)

- slews within 3 degrees

3. Overhead

- Is given by attitude
- ~1000 s per pointing



## Mosaic Mode II

Mosaicing Mode; Pos. Angle: 0 ; Path P.A.: $90 ;$ MaxExp:1.0

## Mosaic Mode III
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## Mosaic Mode IV



courtesy of P. M. Rodríguez-Pascual

## Mosaic Mode V


courtesy of P. M. Rodríguez-Pascual

## Mosaic Mode VI



## Working Hypothesis

- a 20X10 deg2 survey covered by 10 ks pointings
$\Rightarrow 67 \times 50=3350$ pointings
$\rightarrow 11$ pointings per revolution
$\rightarrow 304$ revolutions
$\rightarrow 1.66$ years $\sim 2$ years
$\rightarrow 600$ refereed papers based on XMM-Newton data
- a 7x7 deg2 survey covered by 40 ks pointings
$\rightarrow 24 \times 35=840$ pointings
$\rightarrow 2.5$ pointings per revolution
$\rightarrow 336$ revolution
$\rightarrow 1.84$ years $\sim 2.5$ years
$\rightarrow 750$ refereed papers based on XMM-Newton data

1 year $=182$ revolutions $=14.5 \mathrm{Ms}$ (normal efficiency) $=300$ refereed papers

## Mosaic Mode VI


courtesy of P. M. Rodríguez-Pascual

## Mosaic Mode VII



## Working Hypothesis II

- a 20X10 deg2 survey covered by 10 ks pointings
$\Rightarrow 47 \times 31=1457$ pointings
$\rightarrow 11$ pointings per revolution
$\rightarrow 132$ revolutions
$\rightarrow 0.72$ years $\sim 1$ years
$\rightarrow 300$ refereed papers based on XMM-Newton data
- a 7x7 deg2 survey covered by 40 ks pointings
$\rightarrow 17 \times 22=374$ pointings
$\rightarrow 2.5$ pointings per revolution
$\rightarrow 150$ revolution
$\rightarrow 0.81$ years $\sim 1.1$ years
$\rightarrow 330$ refereed papers based on XMM-Newton data

1 year $=182$ revolutions $=14.5 \mathrm{Ms}$ (normal efficiency) $=300$ refereed papers
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- So far, programmes up to 3 Ms are possible, but what we would propose is more of the order of 10-20 Ms. Could one imagine a discussion at higher ESA level to allow for legacy-type surveys? In any case, the observations would need to be planned over several AOs.


## Large and Very Large Programs

- History:
- AO 0 (guaranteed program)
- AO 1
- AO 2
- AO 3 LP > 300 ks
- AO 4
- AO 5
- AO 6
- AO 7 VLP 1 to 3 Ms
- AO 8
- any change needs a brought acceptance in the community at large
- the first step for any changes in the overall concept of the mission is a recommendation of the XMM-Newton Users Group in coordination and agreement with the OTAC chairperson
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## The Xoray Universe 2008 <br> Granada, Spaino 27 -30 May



## Further information at

http:/I<br>xmm.esac.esa.int


[^0]:    Read further details on the ESA News Pages

