AGN activity and the LSS

Huub Röttgering Leiden Observatory

- Big Question: Coupled formation and evolution of
 - Black holes / AGN
 - Galaxies
 - Large Scale Structure
- Some recent key results
 - AGN Feedback deposits large amounts of energy influencing the evolution of clusters and galaxies
 - Hierarchical clustering lead to most massive BH in the most massive galaxies which are in the most massive clusters
 - z>2 powerful radio galaxies are located in protoclusters
 (Overzier, Venemans, Kurk, Miley, de Breuk, van Breugel, Maschietto, van Breugel, Pentericci, Carilli, HR et al.)
 - Tori exist, but not in all AGN
 - Raban, Jaffe, Meiserheimer, Tristam, HR
 - Radio loudness is a strong function of galaxy mass







Figure 1. UV coverage for NGC1068, color coded according to date. Due to the ~ 0.0 declination of NGC1068, the UV tracks are parallel to the u-axis. u-v coords. [u,v] are complex conjugates of uv coords. [-u,-v], and both are plotted since they are indistinguishable.

Image of the torus of NGC 1068



Figure 7. Maximum entropy reconstruction at $8\mu m$. Image size is 30×30 pixels, with 1pix=2mas. color scale is linear. the extended blobs are artifacts caused by the low UV coverage. Gaussian fitting to this image measures it to be 7.7 / 21 mas in FWHM with PA=46°, very close values to the grey body model results, and in agreement with the results of the one Gaussian fitting at $8\mu m$.

Two components:

- Hot 800K, 1.4x0.5 pc "funnel"
- Cool 300K 3x4 pc
 torus

Raban, Jaffe, HR, Meisenheimer, Tristam in prep.

9 other AGN show 'similar Structure, except the radio Galaxy CenA that does not seem to have a torus

- Big Question: Coupled formation and evolution of
 - Black holes / AGN
 - Galaxies
 - Large Scale Structure

Some recent key results

- AGN Feedback deposits large amounts of energy influencing the evolution of clusters and galaxies
- Hierarchical clustering lead to most massive BH in the most massive galaxies which are in the most massive clusters
 - z>2 powerful radio galaxies are located in protoclusters
 (Overzier, Venemans, Kurk, Miley, de Breuk, van Breugel, Maschietto, van Breugel, Pentericci, Carilli, HR et al.)
- Tori exist, but not in all AGN
 - Raban, Jaffe, Meiserheimer, Tristam, HR
- Radio loudness is a strong function of galaxy mass



Best et al 2005:

For massive galaxies, the rate of activity and energy output sufficient to heat their hot halos



- How does the radio loud fraction evolve?
- How does the radio loud fraction depend on the environment?
- How does AGN activity depend on accretion mode ?
 - Cold accretion / quasar mode / "torus mode"
 - Activity due to a merging event
 - Hot accretion / radio mode
 - Activity due to hot gas cooling
- Topic of Cyril Tasse's thesis
 - Best, Cohen, Le Borgne, Pierre, HR, et al.

XMM-LSS survey

- 10 sqr degree of XMM data
- Spitzer Swire survey
- CFHTLS (u,g,r,i,z) survey with 3 million galaxies
- GMRT and VLA surveys 74, 230, 325 and 610 MHz
- Complete catalogue with for each object:
 - Phot-z, galaxy mass, AGN loudness, density of its environment



Fraction-mass relation

- Following Best 2005, we derive the fraction of galaxies that are radio loud: f=Φrad/Φopt
- Agreement with the SDSS measurement at low redshift and in similar mass range
- A break appears 10^10.5 10^10.8 Msol
- Upturn of the radio LF due to less massive galaxies become more active





-3.0 -2.0 -1.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0



- Higher galaxy mass radio galaxies in clusters
- Lower galaxy mass radio galaxies are in the field
 - Cold accretion due to merging more dominant at higher z ?
 - The reason for the upturn in the radio LF?

Infrared properties



- ZPEG does not take into account dust emission in the infrared
- Infrared excess in the observer frame:

$$\Delta_{IR} = \log(F_{\nu}(\lambda_{IRAC})/F_{\nu}^{ZPEG}(\lambda_{IRAC}))$$



Normalized IR excess for radio loud galaxies Only excess/torus emission for the lower mass galaxies

Summary Radio sel. AGN



X-ray selected AGN

- Sample of ~1000 point-like X-ray sources (~4 sq deg)
- ~80% have optical identification
- We select the type-2 AGN
- Using the soft X-ray flux, we estimate the hydrogen column density and derive intrinsic luminosities
- ZPEG (z, M)
- 0.1<z<1.2, 18<i<24 → ~200 X-ray sources hosts





Summary X-ray sel. AGN

- Xray selected AGN is a homogeneous population:
 - Their fraction-mass relation
- agrees with emission line selected AGN
 - They show a hot infrared excess ------- Quasar mode?
 - They lie in underdense

environment

Cool gas, Mergers?

Summary: Triggering processes and evolution?



Next steps

Key questions

- How does the radio loud fraction evolve?
- How does the radio loud fraction depend on the environment?
- How does AGN activity depend on accretion
 mode ?

Next goal Trace these up to z~1.5

LOFAR opens up the last ``unexplored'' wavelength region

- Unique frequency range
 v ~ 10 240 MHz
- Unprecedented sensitivity
- Enormous field of views Multi-beaming: up to 24 beams
- Phase I: Fully funded (!)
 ~50 stations with baselines of up to
 100 km
 Angular resolution:
 4 arcsec at 200 MHz
- Phase II
 Baselines up to 1000 km
 Angular resolution:
 - <1 arcsec at 200 MHz
- Science
 - Reionisation, cosmic rays, transient radio sources
 - z>6 radio galaxies, clusters and distant starbursts





electronically and not mechanical

60 MHz (1 %) LOFAR map with 800 sources (Oct 07)

LOFAR detection of a z = 4.2 radio galaxy!



60 MHz (1 %) LOFAR map with 800 sources (Oct 07)





Key questions

- How does the radio loud fraction evolve?
- How does the radio loud fraction depend on the environment?
- How does AGN activity depend on accretion mode?

Next goal: trace these up to z~1.5

- Significant sample of z>1 clusters
- A X-ray AGN sample with same range in luminosity as at z~0.4
- Need for excellent optical and IR data

-> 50 deg² with 40 ksec