




Heidelberg University



Zentrum für Astronomie

## Searching for galaxy clusters through weak lensing, X-rays and SZ observations



Matteo Maturi

## Overview

- **Galaxy clusters mass function  $\Rightarrow$  DE constraints**
- **We search for Galaxy clusters**
- **One method for Four different observables**
- **Numerical simulation and detection analysis**

## Galaxy Clusters

Dark-matter halo + gas + stars & galaxies

Mass  $M_v \sim 10^{14} - 10^{15} M_\odot$

Virial radius  $r_v \sim 1 - 3 \text{ Mpc}$

ICM Temperature  $T \sim 10^7 - 10^8 \text{ K}$

X-ray luminosity  $L_x \simeq 10^{45} \text{ erg/s}$

tSZ effect (CMB)  $\Delta T_{tSZ} \sim 100 \mu\text{k}$

kSZ effect (CMB)  $\Delta T_{kSZ} \sim 10 - 30 \mu\text{k}$


Gravitational Lensing  $r_E \approx 1' + \text{Weak Lensing}$




Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope:

Abell 1185


## Why galaxy clusters to study cosmology?



Mass function



Redshift distribution




Zatloukal et al. (2007)

- Clusters formation history **depends on cosmology**
- For e.g.. in **early dark energy models** they form much earlier than in a  $\Lambda$ -CDM cosmology


## How do we search for clusters?

### X-rays




ICM baryons

### SZ



ICM baryons

### Weak lensing



Dark matter

### Galaxy 'counting'

Galaxies over-densities  
Color distribution  
Galaxies morphology

STILL TO COME...



'Collapsed' baryons



## One method for 4 observables

Data  $D_\nu(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = A\tau_\nu(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + N_\nu(\boldsymbol{\theta})$

Estimate  $A_{\text{est}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_\nu \int D_\nu(\boldsymbol{\theta}') \Psi_\nu(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}') d^2\boldsymbol{\theta}'$



$$b \equiv \langle A_{\text{est}} - A \rangle$$

**Bias to vanish**


$$\sigma^2 \equiv \langle (A_{\text{est}} - A)^2 \rangle$$

**Variance to be minimal**



**Optimal matched filter**

$$\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{k}) \propto \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{k})$$




## The filter 'face'

### X-rays filter:

Photon shot noise (white & uncorrelated)

Instrumental noise (white & uncorrelated)

$$\hat{\Psi}(k) \propto \tau(x)$$




### SZ filter:

Noise from CMB ( $C_l$  & correlated in all bands)

Instrumental noise (white & uncorrelated)

$$\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{k}) \propto \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{k})$$




### WL filter:

Noise from LSS ( $P_l$ )

Intrinsic shape + shot noise of galaxies (white)

$$\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{k}) \propto \frac{\hat{\tau}(\mathbf{k})}{P_N(\mathbf{k})}$$



## Testing with Hydro numerical simulations

### Cosmology

$$\Omega_m = 0.3, \quad \Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$$

$$H = 70 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$$

$$\sigma_8 = 0.8$$

### Numerics

$$L_{\text{box}} = 192 \text{ Mpc}/h \quad N = 480^3$$

$$m_{\text{dm}} = 4.6 \cdot 10^9 M_\odot/h$$

$$m_{\text{gas}} = 4.6 \cdot 10^9 M_\odot/h$$

### Baryon physics

- A hybrid multi-phase model for **star formation** in the interstellar medium
- **Radiative cooling** within an optically thin gas consisting of 76% of H and 24% of He by mass
- **Supernova feedback** to model galactic outflows
- **Heating** by a time-dependent re-ionization at  $z \approx 6$
- **Metal lines emission**: MeKaL model

### Observations

X-rays: XMM & Chandra for 10 ks & 100 ks


SZ: ACT 145 GHz ( $1'.7, 2 \mu\text{K}$ ), 225 GHz ( $1'.1, 3.3 \mu\text{K}$ ), 265 GHz ( $0'.93, 5.7 \mu\text{K}$ )

Weak lensing: 30 gal/arcmin<sup>2</sup>,  $\sigma_\gamma = 0.35$ ,  $z_s = 1$  &  $z_s = 2$




# Simulations 'face'


X-rays




SZ




Weak lensing




## Benchmark: Detections number



Lensing




X-rays




SZ effect

- Weak lensing and X-rays find a comparable number of detections
- SZ detections are less


## Benchmark: Contamination %



Lensing




X-rays




SZ effect

- The WL contamination is larger but comparable to the X-rays one
- The SZ sample has a low contamination


## Benchmark: Completeness



Lensing




X-rays




SZ effect

- All three techniques are comparable


## Benchmark: Sensitivity



Lensing




X-rays




SZ effect

- Smaller masses can be probed with WL


## Estimates vs. Simulations



X-rays-Y correlation



Y parameter




X-rays


- X-rays and SZ are well correlated
- $F_x$  & Y are properly measured



## Observables vs. Haloe masses



Central  $y$  parameter



Central X-rays luminosity

- Very good fit with the scaling laws
- $Y \propto M^{5/3}$  &  $L_X \propto M^{4/3}$

## Conclusions

- Galaxy cluster can be used as a **probe for dark energy**
- We aim at detecting galaxy clusters using **all available observables together** (linear filter)
  - Weak lensing
  - X-rays
  - SZ
  - Galaxy counting (still to come)
- This is optimal to search for clusters as they are expected to be, but unexpected is welcome (X-ray silent clusters?)
- Next step 1: include **galaxy surveys** (with photometric redshifts)
- Next step 2: **combine all observable** in a multi-band filter

**Thank you for your attention!**

## References

- An optimal filter for the detection of Galaxy clusters through weak lensing  
Maturi, Meneghetti, Bartelmann, Dolag & Moscardini, 2005, A&A, 442, 851
- Searching dark-matter haloes in the GABODS survey  
Maturi, Schirmer, Bartelmann, Meneghetti & Moscardini, 2007, A&A, 462, 473)
- Testing the reliability of weak lensing cluster detections  
Pace, Maturi, Meneghetti, Bartelmann, Moscardini & Dolag, 2007, A&A, 471, 731
- Statistical properties of SZ and X-ray cluster multi-band filter detections  
Pace, Maturi, Bartelmann, Cappelluti, Meneghetti, Moscardini, 2007, in prep.